



# The New York State Accountability Report 2011-12

**DISTRICT:** LIVINGSTON MANOR  
CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT  
**DISTRICT ID:** 591302040000  
**SUPERINTENDENT:** DEBORAH FOX  
**PHONE:** 845-439-4400

**Data in this report are those reported by districts in the Student Information Repository System as of August 24, 2012.**

On May 29, 2012, the United States Department of Education (USDE) approved New York's Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) waiver. This waiver provides New York with increased flexibility to help close the achievement gap and assist students in becoming college and career ready. New York's waiver introduced a system of identifying districts and/or schools as **Reward**, **Focus**, or **Priority**, based on performance in English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science at the elementary/middle level and in ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate at the secondary level. More information on the waiver is available at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/esea-waiver/>.

Data in The New York State Accountability Report are those used to determine **Performance Indices (PIs)** and make **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)** determinations which, in turn, are used to assist in identifying districts and schools as Reward, Focus, and Priority. Explanations of how the data are used to make the determinations are available at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/ESEAMaterials.html>.

**More Information:**  
Office of Accountability  
New York State Education Department  
55 Hanson Place  
Brooklyn, NY 11217  
Email: [accountinfo@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:accountinfo@mail.nysed.gov)

# Adequate Yearly Progress and Performance Indices

Performance Indices (PIs) and Unweighted Combined PIs are used to determine if a district or school is Priority or Focus. Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups (all students, racial/ethnic groups, students with disabilities, limited English proficient students, and economically disadvantaged students) and PIs for accountability groups and non-AYP groups (non-racial/ethnic groups, general-education students, English proficient students, not economically disadvantaged students, male and female students, and migrant and non-migrant students) are used to determine if a district or school is Reward or a school requires a Local Assistance Plan. For more information, see [http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/documents/NYSESEAFlexibilityWaiver\\_REVISED.pdf](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/documents/NYSESEAFlexibilityWaiver_REVISED.pdf)

## Adequate Yearly Progress

In accordance with federal and State laws, the New York State Education Department must determine and report on the performance of students in the following areas:

- 1) Elementary/middle-level (grades 3 - 8) English Language Arts (ELA)
- 2) Elementary/middle-level (grades 3 - 8) Mathematics
- 3) Elementary/middle-level (grades 4 and 8) Science
- 4) Secondary-level (grades 9-12) ELA
- 5) Secondary-level (grades 9-12) Mathematics
- 6) Graduation Rate

This performance is determined by identifying whether or not a district or school made "**Adequate Yearly Progress**" (AYP). AYP indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students. In ELA, mathematics, and science, AYP is determined by comparing the percentage of students tested and the performance of tested students against defined standards. In graduation rate, AYP is determined by comparing the percentage of students graduating against defined standards.

## Standards for English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science

**Participation:** In ELA and mathematics, 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested to meet the participation criterion. In science, 80% must be tested.

**Performance:** In ELA and mathematics, a calculated measure of performance called the "**Performance Index**" (PI) for each accountability group with 30 or more members must equal or exceed a preset objective called an "**Effective Annual Measurable Objective**" (EAMO) or a safety net objective called a "**Safe Harbor Target**." In science, the criterion for performance is the same but the safety net is referred to as a "**Progress Target**."

Districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria for all groups with sufficient numbers to make AYP.

## Standards for Graduation Rate

Districts and schools with secondary-level grades are also held to certain standards for the percentage of students who graduated. To make AYP in graduation rate, every accountability group with 30 or more members must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, the graduation rate of the 4-year graduation-rate total cohort or the 5-year graduation-rate total cohort must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard of 80% or the group's Progress Target. A cohort is a group of students who entered grade 9 anywhere in a particular school year. Graduation rates for these cohorts are then determined 4 and 5 years after the students first enter grade 9.

For more information on how AYP and PIs are determined, see "Determining AYP in 2011-12" under Understanding Accountability in New York State at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

# Elementary/Middle-Level ELA: AYP

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** In elementary/middle-level (grades 3 - 8) English language arts (ELA), districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Participation:** To meet the participation criterion, at least 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested on an appropriate ELA assessment.

**Performance:** To meet the performance criterion, the Performance Index (PI) of students in each accountability group with 30 or more members must be equal to or greater than the Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO) or the Safe Harbor Target.

**All accountability groups met the participation and performance criteria (made AYP): NO**

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	✓
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	✗
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	✓
Limited English Proficient	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✗

✓ Made AYP

✗ Did not make AYP

— There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# Elementary/Middle-Level ELA: Participation

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 40 or more members tested at least 95% of students enrolled during the test administration period: **YES**

## Elementary/Middle-Level (Grades 3 - 8) English Language Arts (ELA) Participation Results

Student Group	Tested 95%	Students Enrolled During the Test Administration Period	Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores	Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores
All Students	✓	231	231	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	2	—	—
Black or African American	—	9	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	22	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—
White	✓	195	195	100%
Multiracial	—	1	—	—
Students With Disabilities	✓	40	40	100%
Limited English Proficient	—	1	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	47	47	100%

✓ At least 95% of students enrolled during the test administration period were tested.

✗ Less than 95% of students enrolled during the test administration period were tested.

— There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period.

### Medically Excused:

Students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal No Child Left Behind guidance are not included in these calculations.

# Elementary/Middle-Level ELA: Performance

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 30 or more members had a PI greater than or equal to the EAMO or Safe Harbor Target: **NO**

## Elementary/Middle-Level (Grades 3 - 8) English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Results

Student Group	PI >= EAMO or Safe Harbor Target	Tested Students Enrolled on BEDS Day	Performance at Levels						PI	Objectives	
			Students Scoring Level 1 On Track	Students Scoring Level 1 NOT On Track	Students Scoring Level 2 On Track	Students Scoring Level 2 NOT On Track	Students Scoring Level 3	Students Scoring Level 4		EAMO	Safe Harbor Target
All Students	✓	223	0	20	4	84	106	9	144	143	143
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	18	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	✗	191	0	17	2	69	95	8	146	156	151
Multiracial	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	✓	44†	0†	14†	1†	19†	8†	2†	93†	86	86
Limited English Proficient	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✗	47	0	10	1	21	14	1	113	120	120

✓ Performance Index is equal to or greater than Effective Annual Measurable Objective.

✗ Performance Index is less than Effective Annual Measurable Objective and Safe Harbor Target.

— There were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

**BEDS Day (Basic Educational Data System Day):** BEDS Day is the first Wednesday in October of the school year.

**On/Off Track Performance:** Students who score at Level 1 or 2 and are on track to proficiency are those who have shown significant growth over their performance the previous year. Those not on track to proficiency have not shown significant growth.

**Performance Index (PI):** A PI is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). Student scores on the tests are converted to six performance levels: Level 1 On Track, Level 1 Not On Track, Level 2 On Track, Level 2 Not On Track, Level 3, and Level 4. A PI is calculated using the levels and the following equation:  $\{[2(\text{Count at Level 1 On Track}) + \text{Count at Level 2 Not On Track} + 2(\text{Count at Level 2 On Track}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 3}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 4})] \div [\text{Count of Tested Students}]\} \times 100$

**Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO):** The EAMO is the PI value that each accountability group of a given size within a district or school is expected to achieve to meet the performance criterion. The EAMO table is available at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/> under the header "Annual Measurable Objectives."

**Safe Harbor Target:** Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to meet the performance criterion for accountability groups whose PIs are less than their EAMOs. The 2011-12 Safe Harbor Target is calculated using the following formula:  $2010-11 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2010-11 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ .

# Elementary/Middle-Level Math: AYP

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** In elementary/middle-level (grades 3 - 8) mathematics, districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Participation:** To meet the participation criterion, at least 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested on an appropriate math assessment.

**Performance:** To meet the performance criterion, the Performance Index (PI) of students in each accountability group with 30 or more members must be equal to or greater than the Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO) or the Safe Harbor Target.

**All accountability groups met the participation and performance criteria (made AYP): NO**

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	X
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	X
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	X
Limited English Proficient	—
Economically Disadvantaged	X

✓ Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

— There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# Elementary/Middle-Level Math: Participation

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 40 or more members tested at least 95% of students enrolled during the test administration period: **YES**

## Elementary/Middle-Level (Grades 3 - 8) Math Participation Results

Student Group	Tested 95%	Students Enrolled During the Test Administration Period	Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores	Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores
All Students	✓	231	230	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	2	—	—
Black or African American	—	9	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	22	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—
White	✓	195	194	99%
Multiracial	—	1	—	—
Students With Disabilities	✓	40	39	98%
Limited English Proficient	—	1	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	47	46	98%

✓ At least 95% of students enrolled during the test administration period were tested.

✗ Less than 95% of students enrolled during the test administration period were tested.

— There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period.

### Medically Excused:

Students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal No Child Left Behind guidance are not included in these calculations.

# Elementary/Middle-Level Math: Performance

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 30 or more members had a PI greater than or equal to the EAMO or Safe Harbor Target: **NO**

## Elementary/Middle-Level (Grades 3 - 8) Math Performance Results

Student Group	PI >= EAMO or Safe Harbor Target	Tested Students Enrolled on BEDS Day	Performance at Levels						PI	Objectives	
			Students Scoring Level 1 On Track	Students Scoring Level 1 NOT On Track	Students Scoring Level 2 On Track	Students Scoring Level 2 NOT On Track	Students Scoring Level 3	Students Scoring Level 4		EAMO	Safe Harbor Target
All Students	x	222	0	16	0	91	87	28	145	157	157
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	18	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	x	190	0	16	0	74	75	25	144	166	160
Multiracial	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	x	43†	0†	11†	0†	21†	7†	4†	100†	107	107
Limited English Proficient	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	x	46	0	5	0	24	13	4	126	137	137

✓ Performance Index is equal to or greater than Effective Annual Measurable Objective.

x Performance Index is less than Effective Annual Measurable Objective and Safe Harbor Target.

— There were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

**BEDS Day (Basic Educational Data System Day):** BEDS Day is the first Wednesday in October of the school year.

**On/Off Track Performance:** Students who score at Level 1 or 2 and are on track to proficiency are those who have shown significant growth over their performance the previous year. Those not on track to proficiency have not shown significant growth.

**Performance Index (PI):** A PI is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). Student scores on the tests are converted to six performance levels: Level 1 On Track, Level 1 Not On Track, Level 2 On Track, Level 2 Not On Track, Level 3, and Level 4. A PI is calculated using the levels and the following equation:  $[(2(\text{Count at Level 1 On Track}) + \text{Count at Level 2 Not On Track} + 2(\text{Count at Level 2 On Track}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 3}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 4})) \div (\text{Count of Tested Students})] \times 100$

**Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO):** The EAMO is the PI value that each accountability group of a given size within a district or school is expected to achieve to meet the performance criterion. The EAMO table is available at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/> under the header "Annual Measurable Objectives."

**Safe Harbor Target:** Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to meet the performance criterion for accountability groups whose PIs are less than their EAMOs. The 2011-12 Safe Harbor Target is calculated using the following formula:  $2010-11 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2010-11 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ .



# Elementary/Middle-Level Science: AYP

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** In elementary/middle-level (grades 4 & 8) science, districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Participation:** To meet the participation criterion, at least 80% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested on an appropriate science assessment.

**Performance:** To meet the performance criterion, the Performance Index (PI) of students in each accountability group with 30 or more members must be equal to or greater than the Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO) or the Progress Target.

**All accountability groups met the participation and performance criteria (made AYP): YES**

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	✓
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	✓
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	—
Limited English Proficient	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—

✓ Made AYP

✗ Did not make AYP

— There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# Elementary/Middle-Level Science: Participation

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 40 or more members tested at least 80% of students enrolled during the test administration period: **YES**

## Elementary/Middle-Level (Grades 4 & 8) Science Participation Results

Student Group	Tested 80%	Students Enrolled During the Test Administration Period	Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores	Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores
All Students	✓	73	73	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	1	—	—
Black or African American	—	2	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	7	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—	—
White	✓	63	63	100%
Multiracial	—	0	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	11	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	1	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	16	—	—

✓ At least 80% of students enrolled during the test administration period were tested.

✗ Less than 80% of students enrolled during the test administration period were tested.

— There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period.

### Medically Excused:

Students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal No Child Left Behind guidance are not included in these calculations.

# Elementary/Middle-Level Science: Performance

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 30 or more members had a PI greater than or equal to the EAMO or Progress Target: **YES**

## Elementary/Middle-Level (Grades 4 & 8) Science Performance Results

Student Group	PI >= EAMO or Progress Target	Tested Students Enrolled on BEDS Day	Performance at Levels				PI	Objectives	
			Students Scoring Level 1	Students Scoring Level 2	Students Scoring Level 3	Students Scoring Level 4		EAMO	Progress Target
All Students	✓	72	3	6	24	39	183	168	168
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	✓	63	3	6	19	35	181	179	179
Multiracial	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	16	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

✓ Performance Index is equal to or greater than Effective Annual Measurable Objective.

✗ Performance Index is less than Effective Annual Measurable Objective and Progress Target.

— There were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period.

**BEDS Day (Basic Educational Data System Day):** BEDS Day is the first Wednesday in October of the school year.

**On/Off Track Performance:** Students who score at Level 1 or 2 and are on track to proficiency are those who have shown significant growth over their performance the previous year. Those not on track to proficiency have not shown significant growth.

**Performance Index (PI):** A PI is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. A PI is calculated using the levels and the following equation:  $\frac{((\text{Count at Level 2}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 3}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 4}))}{[\text{Count of Tested Students}]} \times 100$

**Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO):** The EAMO is the PI value that each accountability group of a given size within a district or school is expected to achieve to meet the performance criterion. The EAMO table is available at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/> under the header "Annual Measurable Objectives."

**Progress Target:** A Progress Target provides an alternate means to meet the performance criterion for accountability groups whose PIs are less than their EAMOs. The 2011-12 Progress Target is calculated by adding 1 point to the previous year's PI.

# Secondary-Level ELA: AYP

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** In secondary-level English language arts (ELA), districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Participation:** To meet the participation criterion, at least 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested on an appropriate ELA assessment.

**Performance:** To meet the performance criterion, the Performance Index (PI) of students in each accountability group with 30 or more members must be equal to or greater than the Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO) or the Safe Harbor Target.

**All accountability groups met the participation and performance criteria (made AYP): YES**

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	✓
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	—
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	—
Limited English Proficient	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—

✓ Made AYP

✗ Did not make AYP

— There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# Secondary-Level ELA: Participation

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

**All accountability groups with 40 or more members tested at least 95% of 12th graders: NOT APPLICABLE**

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts (ELA) Participation Results

Student Group	Tested 95%	12th Graders	12th Graders with Valid Test Scores	Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores
All Students	—	34	—	—
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	0	—	—
Black or African American	—	1	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	3	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—
White	—	28	—	—
Multiracial	—	0	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	3	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	0	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	7	—	—

- ✓ At least 95% of 12th graders were tested.
- ✗ Less than 95% of 12th graders were tested.
- There were fewer than 40 12th graders in the group.

# Secondary-Level ELA: Performance

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 30 or more members had a PI greater than or equal to the EAMO or Safe Harbor Target: **YES**

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Results

Student Group	PI $\geq$ EAMO or Safe Harbor Target	2008 Accountability Cohort Members	Performance at Levels				PI	Objectives	
			Students Scoring at Accountability Level 1	Students Scoring at Accountability Level 2	Students Scoring at Accountability Level 3	Students Scoring at Accountability Level 4		EAMO	Safe Harbor Target
All Students	✓	36	3	9	17	7	158	143	143
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	—	27	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Multiracial	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

✓ Performance Index is equal to or greater than Effective Annual Measurable Objective.

✗ Performance Index is less than Effective Annual Measurable Objective and Safe Harbor Target.

— There were fewer than 30 tested students in the 2008 accountability cohort.

**2008 Accountability Cohort:** The 2008 Accountability Cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009 or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday during the 2008-09 school year. Results for these students are captured as of June 30, 2012. A more detailed definition of this cohort is available in "Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions" at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/>.

**Performance Index (PI):** A PI is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. A PI is calculated using the levels and the following equation:  $\frac{[(\text{Count at Level 2}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 3}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 4})] \div [\text{Count of Cohort Members}]}{\times 100}$

**Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO):** The EAMO is the PI value that each accountability group of a given size within a district or school is expected to achieve to meet the performance criterion. The EAMO table is available at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/> under the header "Annual Measurable Objectives."

**Safe Harbor Target:** Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to meet the performance criterion for accountability groups whose PIs are less than their EAMOs. The 2011-12 Safe Harbor Target is calculated using the following formula:  $2010-11 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2010-11 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

# Secondary-Level Math: AYP

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** In secondary-level mathematics, districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Participation:** To meet the participation criterion, at least 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested on an appropriate math assessment.

**Performance:** To meet the performance criterion, the Performance Index (PI) of students in each accountability group with 30 or more members must be equal to or greater than the Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO) or the Safe Harbor Target.

**All accountability groups met the participation and performance criteria (made AYP): YES**

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	✓
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	—
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	—
Limited English Proficient	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—

✓ Made AYP

✗ Did not make AYP

— There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# Secondary-Level Math: Participation

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 40 or more members tested at least 95% of 12th graders: **NOT APPLICABLE**

## Secondary-Level Math Participation Results

Student Group	Tested 95%	12th Graders	12th Graders with Valid Test Scores	Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores
All Students	—	34	—	—
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	0	—	—
Black or African American	—	1	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	3	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—
White	—	28	—	—
Multiracial	—	0	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	3	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	0	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	7	—	—

- ✓ At least 95% of 12th graders were tested.
- ✗ Less than 95% of 12th graders were tested.
- There were fewer than 40 12th graders in the group.



# Secondary-Level Math: Performance

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 30 or more members had a PI greater than or equal to the EAMO or Safe Harbor Target: **YES**

## Secondary-Level Math Performance Results

Student Group	PI >= EAMO or Safe Harbor Target	2008 Accountability Cohort Members	Performance at Levels				PI	Objectives	
			Students Scoring at Accountability Level 1	Students Scoring at Accountability Level 2	Students Scoring at Accountability Level 3	Students Scoring at Accountability Level 4		EAMO	Safe Harbor Target
All Students	✓	36	4	17	11	4	131	120	120
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White	—	27	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Multiracial	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

✓ Performance Index is equal to or greater than Effective Annual Measurable Objective.

✗ Performance Index is less than Effective Annual Measurable Objective and Safe Harbor Target.

— There were fewer than 30 tested students in the 2008 accountability cohort.

**2008 Accountability Cohort:** The 2008 Accountability Cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009 or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday during the 2008-09 school year. Results for these students are captured as of June 30, 2012. A more detailed definition of this cohort is available in "Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions" at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/>.

**Performance Index (PI):** A PI is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. A PI is calculated using the levels and the following equation:  $\frac{((\text{Count at Level 2}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 3}) + 2(\text{Count at Level 4}))}{[\text{Count of Cohort Members}]} \times 100$

**Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO):** The EAMO is the PI value that each accountability group of a given size within a district or school is expected to achieve to meet the performance criterion. The EAMO table is available at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/> under the header "Annual Measurable Objectives."

**Safe Harbor Target:** Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to meet the performance criterion for accountability groups whose PIs are less than their EAMOs. The 2011-12 Safe Harbor Target is calculated using the following formula:  $2010-11 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2010-11 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

# Unweighted Combined ELA and Math PIs

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

## Unweighted Combined ELA and Math Performance Indices (PIs)

The unweighted combined ELA and math Performance Index (PI) is the sum of the PIs for all measures for which the school/district has 30 or more students in the group divided by the number of measures for which the school/district has 30 or more students in the group. For example, EM ELA = 150, EM Math = 180, HS ELA = 140, HS Math = 160:  $(150 + 180 + 140 + 160) \div 4 = 157.5 = 158$ .

Student Group	Elementary/ Middle-Level ELA PI	Elementary/ Middle-Level Math PI	Secondary-Level ELA PI	Secondary-Level Math PI	Unweighted Combined PI
All Students	144	145	158	131	145
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—
White	146	144	—	—	145
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	93	100	—	—	97
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	113	126	—	—	120

— There was not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

# Graduation Rate: AYP

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** To make AYP in graduation rate, every accountability group with 30 or more members must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, the graduation rate of the 4-year graduation-rate total cohort **OR** the 5-year graduation-rate total cohort must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard of 80% or the group's Progress Target.

## All accountability groups made AYP: YES

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	✓
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	✓
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	—
Limited English Proficient	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# Graduation Rate: 4-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 30 or more members met the graduation-rate criterion for the four-year graduation-rate total cohort: **YES**

## Four-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Student Group	Met Graduation-Rate Criterion	2007 Four-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort	Number of Graduates	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target
All Students	✓	41	31	76%	80%	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	0	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	1	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	7	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	1	—	—	—	—
White	✓	32	25	78%	80%	77%
Multiracial	—	0	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	3	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	0	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	8	—	—	—	—

✓ Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

✗ Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

## 2007 Four-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. Four-year graduation-rate results for these students are captured as of August 31, 2011.

### Graduation Rate

The graduate rate for the 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort is the percentage of cohort members who earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2011.

### Progress Target

The Progress Target for the 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort is a 10% gap reduction in the graduation rate of the 2006 four-year graduation-rate total cohort as of August 31, 2010. The following equation is used to determine the 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort progress target:

$[(80 - \text{the graduation rate of the 2006 four-year graduation-rate total cohort}) \times 0.1] + \text{the graduation rate of the 2006 four-year graduation-rate total cohort}$

# Graduation Rate: 5-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

DISTRICT: LIVINGSTON MANOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT ID: 591302040000

All accountability groups with 30 or more members met the graduation-rate criterion for the five-year graduation-rate total cohort: **NO**

## Five-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Student Group	Met Graduation-Rate Criterion	2006 Five-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort	Number of Graduates	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target
All Students	X	54	42	78%	80%	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	0	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	1	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	10	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—	—	—	—
White	X	43	33	77%	80%	80%
Multiracial	—	0	—	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	5	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	0	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	16	—	—	—	—

✓ Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

X Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

## 2006 Five-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2007. Five-year graduation-rate results for these students are captured as of August 31, 2011.

### Graduation Rate

The graduate rate for the 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort is the percentage of cohort members who earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2011.

### Progress Target

The Progress Target for the 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort is a 20% gap reduction in the graduation rate of the 2005 five-year graduation-rate total cohort as of June 30, 2010. The following equation is used to determine the 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort progress target:

$[(80 - \text{the graduation rate of the 2005 five-year graduation-rate total cohort}) \times 0.2] + \text{the graduation rate of the 2005 five-year graduation-rate total cohort}$

# The New York State School Report Card Fiscal Accountability Supplement for Livingston Manor Central School District

New York State Education Law and the Commissioner's Regulations require the attachment of the NYS School Report Card to the public school district budget proposal. The regulations require that certain expenditure ratios for general education and special education students be reported and compared with ratios for similar districts and all public schools. The required ratios for this district are reported below.

2010-2011 School Year		General Education	Special Education
This School District	Instructional Expenditures	\$5,358,870	\$4,588,628
	Pupils	535	79
	<b>Expenditures Per Pupil</b>	<b>\$10,017</b>	<b>\$58,084</b>
Similar District Group	Instructional Expenditures	\$1,484,070,142	\$601,562,536
	Pupils	156,405	23,989
	<b>Expenditures Per Pupil</b>	<b>\$9,489</b>	<b>\$25,077</b>
Total of All School Districts in NY State	Instructional Expenditures	\$29,473,160,406	\$12,260,104,540
	Pupils	2,688,528	412,226
	<b>Expenditures Per Pupil</b>	<b>\$10,963</b>	<b>\$29,741</b>
Similar District Group Description: High Need/Resource Capacity Rural			

Instructional Expenditures for General Education are K-12 expenditures for classroom instruction (excluding Special Education) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for instruction of students with disabilities in a general-education setting. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for General Education is K-12 average daily membership plus K-12 pupils for whom the district pays tuition to another school district. This number represents all pupils, including those classified as having disabilities and those not classified, excluding only students with disabilities placed out of district. For districts in which a county jail is located, this number includes incarcerated youth to whom the district must provide an education program.

Instructional Expenditures for Special Education are K-12 expenditures for students with disabilities (including summer special education expenditures) plus a proration of building-level administrative and instructional support expenditures. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for Special Education is a count of K-12 students with disabilities for the 2010-11 school year plus students for whom the district receives tuition from another district plus students for whom the district pays tuition to another district. Students attending the State schools at Rome and Batavia, private placements and out-of-state placements are included.

Instructional Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Instructional Expenditures to Pupils. The total cost of instruction for students with disabilities may include both general- and special-education expenditures. Special-education services provided in the general-education classroom may benefit students not classified as having disabilities.

2010-2011 School Year	This School District	Similar District Group	Total of All School Districts in NY State
<b>Total Expenditures Per Pupil</b>	<b>\$25,832</b>	<b>\$19,171</b>	<b>\$20,410</b>

Total Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Total Expenditures to Pupils. Total Expenditures include district expenditures for classroom instruction, as well as expenditures for transportation, debt service, community service and district-wide administration that are not included in the Instructional Expenditure values for General Education and Special Education. As such, the sum of General Education and Special Education Instructional Expenditures does not equal the Total Expenditures.

The numbers used to compute the statistics on this page were collected on the State Aid Form A, the State Aid Form F, the School District Annual Financial Report (ST-3), and from the Student Information Repository System (SIRS).

# The New York State School Report Card Information about Students with Disabilities for Livingston Manor Central School District

New York State Education Law and the Commissioner's Regulations require the attachment of the NYS School Report Card to the public school district budget proposal. The regulations require reporting students with disabilities by the percent of time they are in general education classrooms and the classification rate of students with disabilities. These data are to be compared with percentages for similar districts and all public schools. The required percentages for this district are reported below.

Student Counts as of October 4, 2011	This School District		Similar District Group	Total of All School Districts in NY State
	Count of Students with Disabilities	Percentage of Students with Disabilities	Percentage of Students with Disabilities	Percentage of Students with Disabilities
80% or more	31	37.8%	56.6%	57.3%
40% to 79%	20	24.4%	20.3%	11.7%
Less than 40%	29	35.4%	21.0%	22.1%
Separate Settings	2	2.4%	1.6%	6.1%
Other Settings	0	0.0%	0.6%	2.8%

The source data for the statistics in this table were reported through the Student Information Repository System (SIRS) and verified in Verification Report 5. The counts are numbers of students reported in the least restrictive environment categories for school-age programs (ages 6-21) on October 4, 2011. The percentages represent the amount of time students with disabilities are in general-education classrooms, regardless of the amount and cost of special education services they receive. Rounding of percentage values may cause them to sum to a number slightly different from 100%.

## School-age Students with Disabilities Classification Rate

2011-12 School Year	This School District	Similar District Group	Total of All School Districts in NY State
Special Ed Classification Rate	16.5%	13.5%	12.8%

This rate is a ratio of the count of school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) to the total enrollment of all school-age students in the school district, including students who are parentally placed in nonpublic schools located in the school district. The numerator includes all school-age students for whom a district has Committee on Special Education (CSE) responsibility to ensure the provision of special education services. The denominator includes all school-age students who reside in the district. In the case of parentally placed students in nonpublic schools, it includes the number of students who attend the nonpublic schools located in the school district. Source data are drawn from the SIRS and from the Basic Education Data System (BEDS).

Similar District Group Description: High Need/Resource Capacity Rural
---

Similar District Groups are identified according to the Need-to-Resource-Capacity Index. More information about this categorization is on the Internet at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/2011-12/NeedResourceCapacityIndex.pdf>